# PSYC\*6920, Course Outline: Fall 2023

# **General Information**

**Course Title:** Applied Social Psychology and Intervention

## **Course Description:**

This course will critically examine theoretical approaches and research in the field of applied social psychology with a particular focus on work aimed at generating intervention strategies intended to ameliorate social and practical problems. The course will also consider implications for social policy.

Credit Weight: 0.50

Academic Department (or campus): Department of Psychology

Semester Offering: Fall 2023 Class Schedule: Fridays 11:30-14:20

Location: MCKN room 119

#### **Instructor Information:**

Instructor Name: Benjamin Giguère Instructor Email: bgiguere@uoguelph.ca Telephone: 519-824-4120 ext. 56562

Office location: MacKinnon Building Extension (MCKN) 4007

Office hours: By appointment

## **Course Content**

Upon completion of the course, through concise, accurate and reliable oral and written communication, students will be able to:

- 1. demonstrate an in-depth understanding of social psychology theories.
- 2. demonstrate the ability to think critically about and integrate research from different social psychology theories.
- 3. demonstrate the ability to apply social psychological theories to think critically and creatively about the nature of social and practical problems.
- 4. demonstrate the ability to apply social psychological theories to develop creative interventions to address social and practical problems.
- 5. demonstrate the ability to facilitate conversations about complex social psychological research and theories, and their application to address social and practical problems.
- 6. demonstrate key ethical principles and professionalism (including accountability, responsibility, and time management)

# **Seminar Content:**

Date	Topics	Readings
Sept. 8th	Welcome to the course, overview of social psychology, basic participation and facilitation skills and selection of meeting lead	<ol> <li>Batson, C. D. (2005). Seven Possible Social—Psychological Wisdoms. <i>Psychological Inquiry</i>, 16, 152-157.</li> <li>Spencer, S. J., Zanna, M. P., &amp; Fong, G. T. (2005). Establishing a causal chain: Why experiments are often more effective than mediational analyses in examining psychological processes. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i>, 89, 845–851.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>Campbell, D. T. (1967). Dissertation for the doctorate. American Psychologist, 22(6), 448. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0037673</li> <li>Taylor, D. M. &amp; Brown, R. J. (1979). Towards a more social social psychology? British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 18, 173-180.</li> <li>Rucker, D. D., Preacher, K. J., Tormala, Z. L., &amp; Petty, R. E. (2011). Mediation analysis in social psychology: Current practices and new recommendations. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5, 359–371. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00355.x</li> </ul>
Sept. 15th	Overview of applied social psychology	<ol> <li>Lewin, K. (1943). Psychology and the process of group living. The Journal of Social Psychology, 17, 113-131.</li> <li>Lévy-Leboyer, C. (1988). Success and failure in applying psychology. American Psychologist, 43,779-785.</li> <li>Mortensen, C. R. &amp; Cialdini, R. B. (2010). Full-cycle social psychology for theory and application. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 4, 53-63.</li> <li>Brauer, M. &amp; Kennedy, K. R. (2023). On effects that do occur versus effects that can be made to occur. Frontiers in Social Psychology. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/frsps.2023.1193349">https://doi.org/10.3389/frsps.2023.1193349</a></li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>Cook, T. D. &amp; Campbell, D. T. (1979). Quasi-experimentation:         Design &amp; Analysis Issues for Field Settings. Rand McNally College         Publishing Company: Chicago.</li> <li>Ellard-Gray, A., Jeffrey, N. K., Choubak, M., &amp; Crann, S. E. (2015).         Finding the Hidden Participant: Solutions for Recruiting Hidden,         Hard-to-Reach, and Vulnerable Populations. International Journal         of Qualitative Methods 14, 1-10.</li> <li>Prentice, D. A., &amp; Miller, D. T. (1992). When small effects are         impressive. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 160-164.         doi:10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.160</li> </ul>

Date	Topics	Readings		
Sept. 22nd	AS Theories #1: Social Cognitive Theory	<ol> <li>Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An agentic perspective. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 1-26.</li> <li>Bandura, A. (2000). Exercise of human agency through collective efficacy. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 9, 75–78</li> <li>Sheeshka, J. D., Woolcott, D. M., &amp; MacKinnon, N. J. (1993). Social Cognitive Theory as a Framework to Explain Intentions to Practice Healthy Eating Behaviors. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 19, 1547-1573.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ol> <li>Giguère, B., Beggs, T., &amp; Sirois, F. M. (2019). Social cognitive approaches to health issues. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 185-214). SAGE Publishing.</li> <li>Prestwich, et al. (2014). How can self-efficacy be increased? Meta-analysis of diet interventions. Health Psychology Review, 8,</li> </ol>		
		207-285.		
Sept. 29th	AS Theories #2: Theory of Planned Behaviour Thought paper #1 due	<ol> <li>Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50, 179–211.</li> <li>Sheeran, P. &amp; Orbell, S. (2000). Using implementation intentions to increase attendance for cervical cancer screening. Health Psychology, 19, 283-289.</li> <li>Ajzen, I. (2011). Behavioral Interventions: Design and Evaluation Guided by the Theory of Planned Behavior. In M. M. Mark, S. I. Donaldson &amp; B. Campbell, Social Psychology and Evaluation (pp. 74-101): Guilford Press.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Ajzen, I. (2006). Constructing a Theory of Planned Behavior         Questionnaire. Retrieved November 22<sup>nd</sup> 2021 from_         <a href="https://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf">https://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf</a> [Save this one, you never know when you might need it]</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Ajzen, I. (2011). The theory of planned behavior: Reactions and reflections. Psychology and Health, 26, 1113-1127. [Reflection on critics of TPB]</li> </ul>		
		• Smith, J. R., & Louis, W. R. (2009). Group norms and the attitude—behaviour relationship. Social and Personality Psychology  Compass, 3(1), 19–35. [Offers an alternate to TPB to the gap to		
		behaviour]		
		Gollwitzer, P. M. & Sheeran, P. (2006). Implementation intention and goal achievement: A meta-analysis of effects and processes.		

Date	Topics	Readings
		Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 38, 69-119. [Meta- analysis about Implementation Intention Effects]
Oct. 6th	AS Theories #3: Social Identity Theory	<ol> <li>Hornsey, M. J. (2008). Social Identity Theory and Self-Categorization Theory: A historical review. Social and Personality Compass, 2, 204-222.</li> <li>Haslam, S. A. (2014). Making good theory practical: Five lessons for an Applied Social Identity Approach to challenges of organizational, health, and clinical psychology. British Journal of Social Psychology, 53, 1-20.</li> <li>Haslam, C., Cruwys, T., Haslam, S. A., Dingle, G., &amp; Chang, M. XL. (2016). Groups 4 Health: Evidence that a social-identity intervention that builds and strengthens social group membership improves mental health. Journal of Affective Disorders, 194, 188–195.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>Abrams, D., &amp; Hogg, M. A. (2004). Metatheory: Lessons from social identity research. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8, 98–106.</li> <li>Tajfel, H., &amp; Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin &amp; S. Worchel (Eds.), The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations (pp. 33–47). Monterey, CA:Brooks/Cole</li> <li>Turner, J.C, Hogg, M. A., Oakes, P.J., Reicher, S.D. &amp; Wetherell, M. S. (1987). Rediscovering the social group: A self-categorization theory. Oxford, England: Blackwell.</li> </ul>
Oct. 13th	AS Theories #4: Social Norms	<ol> <li>Miller , D. T. &amp; Prentice, D. A. (2016). Changing Norms to Change Behavior. <i>Annual Review of Psychology, 67</i>, 339-361.</li> <li>Tankard, M. E. &amp; Paluck, E. L. (2016). Norm Perception as a Vehicle for Social Change. <i>Social Issues and Policy Review, 10</i>, 181-211.</li> <li>Schultz, P. W., Nolan, J. M., Cialdini, R. B., Noah J. Goldstein, N. J., &amp; Griskevicius, V. (2007). The Constructive, Destructive, and Reconstructive Power of Social Norms. <i>Psychological Science, 18</i>, 429-434.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>Suggested supplemental readings:</li> <li>Paluck, E. L. (2009). Reducing intergroup prejudice and conflict using the media: A field experiment in Rwanda. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 96</i>, 574–587.         <ul> <li>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0011989</li> </ul> </li> <li>Neighbors, C., Jensen, M., Tidwell, J., Walter, T., Fossos, N., &amp; Lewis, M. A. (2011). Social-norms interventions for light and nondrinking students. <i>Group Processes and Intergroup Relations</i>,</li> </ul>

Date	Topics	Readings
		<ul> <li>14, 651-669.</li> <li>Blanton H., Stuart A.E., Van den Eijnden R.J.J.M. (2001) An Introduction to Deviance-Regulation Theory: The Effect of Behavioral Norms on Message Framing. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27, 848–858.</li> <li>Kashima, Y., Wilson, S., Lusher, D., Pearson, L. J., &amp; Pearson, C. (2013). The acquisition of perceived descriptive norms as social category learning in social networks. Social Networks, 1–9.</li> </ul>
Oct. 20th	Interventions part 1	<ol> <li>Changing behavior: Abraham, C.A. &amp; Michie, S. (2008). A taxonomy of behavior change techniques used in interventions. Health Psychology, 27, 379–387.</li> <li>Persuasion: Abraham, C., Southby, L., Quandte, S., Krahé, B., &amp; van der Sluijs, W. (2007). What's in a leaflet? Identifying research-based persuasion messages in European alcoholeducation leaflets. Psychology and Health, 22, 1-30.</li> <li>Fear: Witte, K. &amp; Allen, M. (2000). A meta-analysis of fear appeals: Implications for effective public health campaigns. Health Education &amp; Behavior, 27, 591-615.</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>The origins of fear messages ("This is your brain on drugs" PSA; see videos on courselink) Witte, K. (1992). Putting the fear back into fear appeals: The extended parallel process model. Communication Monographs, 59, 329-349.</li> <li>Transtheoretical model of change: Prochaska, J.O., DiClemente, C.C. &amp; Norcross, J.C. (1992). In search of how people change. American Psychologist, 47, 1102–1114.</li> <li>Motivated Interviewing: Vansteenkiste, M. &amp; Sheldon, K. M. (2006). There's nothing more practical than a good theory: Integrating motivational interviewing and self-determination theory. British Journal of Social Psychology, 45, 63-82.</li> <li>Nudge: Dewies, M., Schop-Etman, A., Rohde, K. I.M., &amp; Denktas, S. (2021) Nudging is Ineffective When Attitudes Are Unsupportive: An Example from a Natural Field Experiment. Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 43, 213-225.</li> <li>Example application to marketing: Ennis R., &amp; Zanna, M.P. (1993) Attitudes, advertising, and automobiles: a functional approach. Advances in Consumer Research, 20, 662-6.</li> </ul>
Oct. 27th	Intervention part 2	1. <b>Cognitive dissonance</b> : Pearce, L. & Cooper, J. (2021) Fostering COVID-19 Safe Behaviors Using Cognitive Dissonance, <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 43, 267-282, DOI: 10.1080/01973533.2021.1953497.

Date	Topics	Readings		
	Thought paper #2	<ol> <li>Hypocrisy: Stone J, Aronson E, Crain AL, et al. (1994). Inducing hypocrisy as a means of encouraging young adults to use condoms. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 20</i>,116–28.</li> <li>Inoculation: Lim, J. S., &amp; Ki, EJ. (2007). Resistance to ethically suspicious parody video on youTube: A test of inoculation theory. <i>Journalism &amp; Mass Communication Quarterly, 84</i>, 713-728.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Barata, P.C. &amp; Senn, C.Y. (2019). Interventions to Reduce Violence Against Women: The Contribution of Applied Social Psychology. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 61-84). SAGE Publishing.</li> <li>Festinger, L., &amp; Carlsmith, J. M. (1959). Cognitive consequences of forced compliance. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 58, 203–210.</li> <li>Papageorgis, D., &amp; McGuire, W. J. (1961). The generality of immunity to persuasion produced by pre- exposure to weakened counterarguments. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology,</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>62, 475–481.</li> <li>Banas, J. A., &amp; Rains, S. A. (2010). A meta-analysis of research on</li> </ul>		
		inoculation theory. <i>Communication Monographs, 77</i> , 281–311.		
Nov. 3rd	From a problem to theory driven intervention	<ol> <li>Buunk, A. B., &amp; Van Vugt, M. (2013). Applying Social Psychology: From Problems to Solutions. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt. (Chapter 2, pp. 23-53)</li> </ol>		
	part 1	2. Buunk, A. B., & Van Vugt, M. (2013). <i>Applying Social Psychology:</i> From Problems to Solutions. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt.  (Chapter 3, pp. 55-76)		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Fleury, J. &amp; Sidani, S. (2012). Using theory to guide intervention research. In B. Mazurek Melnyk &amp; D. Morrison-Beedy, Intervention Research Designing, Conducting, Analysing, and Funding (pp. 11-36): Springer Publishing Company, New YorkNY.</li> <li>Michie, S. &amp; Abraham, C. (2004). Intervention to change health behaviours: Evidenced-based or inspired-based? Psychology and Health, 19, 29-49.</li> </ul>		
Nov. 10th	From a problem to theory driven intervention part 2	<ol> <li>Lee, N.R. &amp; Kotler, P. (2011). Social Marketing: Influencing Behaviors for good. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt. (Chapter 2 pp. 32-54).</li> <li>Russell, C. A., Clapp, J. D., &amp; DeJong, W. (2005). Done 4: Analysis of a Failed Social Norms Marketing Campaign. Health Communication, 17, 57-65.</li> </ol>		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		3. Wandersman, A. (2009) Four keys to success (theory, implementation, evaluation, and resource/system support): High hopes and challenges in participation. <i>American Journal of Community Psychology</i> , 43, 3–21.		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Buunk, A. B., &amp; Van Vugt, M. (2013). Applying Social Psychology: From Problems to Solutions. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt. (Chapter 1, pp. 1-21)</li> <li>Wandersman, A., Duffy, J., Flaspohler, P., Noonan, R., Lubell, K., Stillman, L., Blackman, M., Dunvillen, R., &amp; Saul, J. (2008). Bridging the gap between prevention research and practice: The interactive systems framework for dissemination and implementation. American Journal of Community Psychology, 41, 171–181.</li> <li>Durlak, J. A. &amp; DuPre, E. P. (2008). Implementation Matters: A Review of Research on the Influence of Implementation on Program Outcomes and the Factors Affecting Implementation. American Journal of Community Psychology, 41, 327-350.</li> </ul>		
Nov.	AS &	Informing Policy		
17th	Government Policies	<ul> <li>Dovidio, J. S., &amp; Esses, V. M. (2007). Psychological research and public policy: Bridging the gap. Social Issues and Policy Review, 1, 5-14.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>IJzerman et al. (2020). Use caution when applying behavioural science to policy. Nature Human Behavior, 4, 1092-1094.</li> <li>Maton, K. I. (2017). Policy Failures and Defeats, Barriers and Challenges, and Lessons Learned (Ch. 8; pp. 262-302). In K. I. Maton, Influencing Social Policy: Applied Psychology Serving the Public Interest. Oxford University Press.</li> </ul>		
		Analysing Policy		
		<ul> <li>Cohen, D. (1996). Law, Social Policy, and Violence: The Impact of Regional Cultures. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 70, 961-978.</li> </ul>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Breheny, M. &amp; Stephens, C. (2019). Social policy and social identities for older people. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 347-365). SAGE Publishing.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Esses, V. M. &amp; Dovidio, J. F. (2011). Social psychology, social issues, and social policy: What have we learned? Social Issues and Policy Review, 5, 1-7.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Galinsky et al. (2015). Maximizing the gains and minimizing the pains of diversity: A policy perspective. Perspectives on Psychological Sciences, 10, 742-748.</li> </ul>		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		Maton, K. I. (2017). Influencing Social Policy: Applied Psychology Serving the Public Interest. Oxford University Press.		
Nov.	From policy to	The International Tobacco Control project		
24th	addressing problems	<ol> <li>Fong, G. T., Cummings, K. M., Borland, R., Hastings, G., Hyland, A., Giovino, G. A., Hammond, D. &amp; Thompson, M. E. (2006). The conceptual framework of the international tobacco control (ITC) policy evaluation project. <i>Tobacco Control, 15</i>, iii3–iii11.</li> <li>Borland R, Wilson N, Fong GT, Hammond D, Cummings KM, Yong HH, Hosking W, Hastings G, Thrasher J, &amp; McNeill A (2009). Impact of graphic and text warnings on cigarette packs: Findings from four countries over five years. <i>Tobacco Control, 18</i>, 358 – 364.</li> </ol>		
		Multiculturalism		
		<ol> <li>Guimond, S., Crisp, R. J., De Oliveira, P., Kamiejski, R., Kteily, N., Kuepper, B., Lalonde, R. N., Levin, S., Pratto, F., Tougas, F., Sidanius, J. &amp; Zick, A. (2013). Diversity policy, social dominance, and intergroup relations: Predicting prejudice in changing social and political contexts. <i>Journal of Personality and Social</i> <i>Psychology</i>, 104, 941-958.</li> </ol>		

## **Course Assignments and Tests:**

Assignment or Test	Due Date	Contribution to Final Mark (%)	Learning Outcomes Assessed
Participation in seminar discussions	Varied	20%	1,2,3,4,6
Presentation and discussion facilitation #1	Varied	10%	5,6
Presentation and discussion facilitation #2	Varied	10%	5,6
Thought Paper #1	Sept. 29th	10%	1,2,3,6
Thought Paper #2	Oct. 27th	10%	1,2,4,6
Research proposal	Nov. 24th	40%	1,2,3,4,6

### Participation in seminar discussion

Given that this course is a seminar, most of the learning will take place during class discussions. The seminar will be a collaborative effort between the students to produce thoughtful analysis and discussion. This course is focused on autonomy building to align with the demands of practitioners. The class will meet once a week to discuss the assigned topics. The readings are organized around a particular topic area and are intended to provide a common knowledge base from which relevant theoretical, methodological, and practical issues can be addressed. Class members are expected to read the assigned material and be prepared to discuss a) the strengths and weakness of the research, b) the adequacy of the existing research, c) ideas for future research, and d) confusing points in the readings. Some reminders of important participation skills will be provided during the first meeting.

#### Presentation and discussion facilitation #1 and #2

Each of you will be responsible for presenting on two of the topics covered. Topic selection will occur during the first class. Your task during your two seminars will be threefold. First, you will prepare discussion questions for the class and distribute these to the class by Thursday at 8am. You can do this through CourseLink. Second, you will provide a brief (about 2 minutes) summary of the readings, which you will present to the group at the start of the meeting. Third, you will facilitate the class discussion. Please ensure you should have a plan for how you will do that. Some reminders of important facilitation skills will be provided during the first meeting. Depending on the number of students you may be asked to complete this activity in teams.

#### Thought papers

The thought papers should draw on the course material, at least in part, as well as other relevant sources to provide an informed answer to the question. The papers are to be a maximum of 1000 words, excluding reference lists and cover pages and be double spaced as well as adhere to APA style.

The topic of the first thought paper is: "What makes a social psychology theory practical?"

The topic of the second thought paper is: "What makes an intervention social psychological?"

#### Intervention research proposal

Propose the design of an intervention research study to examine an intervention to impact a social problem that affects undergraduate students on a university campus. [There is some flexibility about the problem; feel free to talk to the instructor if you want to do something else, such as something closer to your graduate work.]

This is a creative exercise, so you might want to find a problem you are passionate about and one that can be addressed using social psychology.

The intervention must incorporate social psychological theory and research. Be sure to provide, the context for the intervention (e.g., why is it needed; what has already been tried, how is it building on previous work?); the stakeholders and participants (e.g., who will care about this intervention and for whom is it intended); the components of the intervention (e.g., what is involved and why is it included).

You do NOT have to consider the budget, but you must propose a project that would be feasible (e.g., cannot assign a psychologist per first-year student as an intervention). [If you want to add one in the appendix to try, I'll review it and give you feedback, but it won't be part of the grade.]

If you have a particular community project in mind and would like to work on an actual intervention design with stakeholders, please speak with the instructor.

The proposal document should contain cover the following content (probably):

- 1. Introduce the social problem and offers a rationale for its importance, which is ideally supported with some example facts (e.g., Stats Canada report of the % of students who binge drink on university campuses in Canada)
- 2. A rationale using a social psychological perceptive to explain the nature of one or more causes of the social problem.
- 3. A rationale for a creative parsimonious intervention aimed at addressing the social psychological problem assumed to underlie the social problem for a specific target group.
- 4. A description of the research design/method of the proposed study
- 5. A description of the main expected outcomes of the intervention
- 6. A concise discussion of the scalability of the intervention, including brief sections about implementation, monitoring and evaluation considerations.

The document should be no more than 20 double-spaced pages (excluding the cover page, reference list, an executive summary of 1 page, and the appendix). The executive summary should be no more than 1 page. Materials of the intervention (e.g., sample poster; sketches; figures; scripts) can be presented in a separate appendix if needed. Most likely, you will start with a document substantially longer and will need to edit it down. Use critical thinking to carefully select the information necessary to understand the proposed intervention research. The references must follow APA style; the style of the rest of the document is up to you! If made a pitch to an organization, what would this look like?

## **Course Resources**

## **Required Texts:**

All of the articles in the schedule of readings can be found at the library. Copies will be made available on courselink for your convenience whenever possible.

#### Other Resources:

Please visit the <u>CourseLink</u> site regularly to obtain important information and materials for this course (e.g., readings, grades, etc.).

# **Course Policies**

## **Grading Policies**

All evaluations will be graded holistically (i.e., there are no detailed rubrics in which total marks are broken down). A letter grade will be assigned as per the grade schedule specified in the university's graduate calendar.

A+	Outstanding. The student demonstrated a mastery of the course material at a
	level of performance exceeding that of most scholarship students and
	warranting consideration for a graduation award.
A- to A	Very Good to Excellent. The student demonstrated a very good understanding
	of the material at a level of performance warranting scholarship consideration.
В	Acceptable to Good. The student demonstrated an adequate to good
	understanding of the course material at a level of performance sufficient to
	complete the program of study.
С	Minimally Acceptable. The student demonstrated an understanding of the
	material sufficient to pass the course but at a level of performance lower than
	expected from continuing graduate students.
F	An inadequate performance.

Please also note that work submitted for grades may be screened electronically for academic misconduct, including breaches of academic integrity and plagiarism. In this course, your instructor will be using Turnitin, to detect possible plagiarism, unauthorized collaboration or copying as part of the ongoing efforts to maintain academic integrity at the University of Guelph. All submitted assignments will be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of such papers. Use of the Turnitin.com service is subject to the Usage Policy posted on the Turnitin.com site.

If a word limit is set for an assignment, the grader will stop reading when they get to the limit set for the assignment (e.g., if you submit a 600 words document when the limit 500 words, only the first 500 words will be graded). No assignments will be accepted after the last day of the term.

A grade of 0 will be assigned for non-completion of any assignment or examination when scheduled unless there is an arrangement set as per the university policy regarding academic consideration. Please see the university policy section below for further details with regards to academic consideration.

## Past/Future Work

Work done in this class cannot duplicate work you have already done for another class (including thesis and independent study courses). You can work on a component of your thesis/dissertation/other upcoming project if it is a good fit for the class assignment, but your submission for this class cannot be material that another faculty member has already given you feedback on. If the topic of your final paper is similar to other work you have done or are planning to do, please speak to the course instructor for guidance on how to proceed.

#### Policy regarding materials provided by instructor and his designates

The material shared by the course instructor or by his designate (e.g., TA) as part of this course, including copies of the lecture slides, are solely for the personal use of the authorized registered student for the duration of the course and may NOT be reproduced, or transmitted to others, whether it is in their original format or a modified version, without the express written consent of the course instructor.

## Policy on emails

Only questions that can be answered simply, for example by yes or no or with a short sentence, will be answered by email. For longer answers you will most likely receive an email asking you to come and see me.

Emails will usually be answered within 24 to 48 hours during weekdays. It is possible that some emails that are not from a university address (e.g., @hotmail.com) may be treated as spam. So do not hesitate to come and see one of us if your email was not answered. The university expects you to check your U of Guelph email account regularly and us to use it to communicate with you.

#### Course Policy regarding use of electronic devices and recording of lectures

Electronic recording of classes is expressly forbidden without consent of the instructor. When recordings are permitted, they are solely for the use of the authorized student and may not be reproduced, or transmitted to others, without the express written consent of the instructor.

### Supplemental evaluations/examination

Please note that there is no supplemental evaluation or examination for this course.

# **University Policies**

#### Disclaimer:

Please note that the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic may necessitate a revision of the format of course offerings, changes in classroom protocols, and academic schedules. Any such changes will be announced via CourseLink and/or class email. This includes on-campus scheduling during the semester, mid-terms and final examination schedules. All University-wide decisions will be posted on the COVID-19 website (https://news.uoguelph.ca/2019-novel-coronavirus-information/) and circulated by email.

#### **Academic Consideration**

When you find yourself unable to meet an in-course requirement because of illness or compassionate reasons, please advise the course instructor in writing, with your name, id#, and e-mail contact. See the academic calendar for information on regulations and procedures for

Academic Consideration:
Grounds for Academic Consideration

#### **Academic Misconduct**

The University of Guelph is committed to upholding the highest standards of academic integrity and it is the responsibility of all members of the University community, faculty, staff, and students to be aware of what constitutes academic misconduct and to do as much as possible to prevent academic offences from occurring.

University of Guelph students have the responsibility of abiding by the University's policy on academic misconduct regardless of their location of study; faculty, staff and students have the responsibility of supporting an environment that discourages misconduct. Students need to remain aware that instructors have access to and the right to use electronic and other means of detection. Please note: Whether or not a student intended to commit academic misconduct is not relevant for a finding of guilt. Hurried or careless submission of assignments does not excuse students from responsibility for verifying the academic integrity of their work before submitting it. Students who are in any doubt as to whether an action on their part could be construed as an academic offence should consult with a faculty member or faculty advisor.

The Academic Misconduct Policy is detailed in the appropriate section of the **Graduate Calendar**.

## Accessibility

The University of Guelph is committed to creating a barrier-free environment. Providing services for students is a shared responsibility among students, faculty and administrators. This relationship is based on respect of individual rights, the dignity of the individual and the University community's shared commitment to an open and supportive learning environment. Students requiring service or accommodation, whether due to an identified, ongoing disability or a short-term disability should contact the Student Accessibility Services as soon as possible.

For more information, contact SAS at 519-824-4120 ext. 56208 or email csd@uoguelph.ca or see the website: Student Accessibility Services Website

## **Student Feedback Questionnaire**

These questionnaires (formerly course evaluations) will be available to students during the last 2 weeks of the semester: March. 28<sup>th</sup> – April 08<sup>th</sup>. Students will receive an email directly from the Student Feedback Administration system which will include a direct link to the questionnaire for this course. During this time, when a student goes to login to Courselink, a reminder will pop-up when a task is available to complete.

Student Feedback Questionnaire

### Drop date

The last date to drop one-semester courses, without academic penalty, is in the graduate calendar. For regulations and procedures for Dropping Courses, see the Academic Calendar:

<u>Current Graduate Calendar.</u>